COVER	FAX	
	to the state of th	D
	JUL 10 2009	
	PUBLIC SERVICI COMMISSION	E

SHEET

To:

Jeff Derouen, KY PSC

Fax #:

(502) 564-3460

14

Subject:

Case No. 2009-00158

Date:

7/10/09

Pages: (1)

 γ pages, including this cover sheet.

COMMENTS:

Stephen B. Seiple, Atty for Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.

From the desk of...

Kas McLaughlin Legal Administrative Assistant Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. A NiSource Company 200 Civic Center Drive Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 460-4660 Fax: (614) 460-6986



JUL 1 0 2009

July 10, 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

> SENT VIA FAX AND **OVERNIGHT DELIVERY**

Mr. Jeff Derouen **Executive Director** Public Service Commission Commonwealth of Kentucky 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

RE: Case No. 2009-00141

Dear Mr. Derouen,

Enclosed for filing is Columbia Gas of Kentucky's Memorandum Contra Constellation NewEnergy's Motion to Intervene. One copy is being faxed, and the original and eleven (11) copies are being sent by overnight delivery. Please docket the fax copy, and upon receipt of the overnight delivery please docket the original and ten (10) copies and return the extra copy to me in the self addressed stamped envelope enclosed. Should you have any questions about this filing, please contact me at 614-460-4648. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Stephen B. Seiple

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures

cc:

All Parties of Record Hon. Richard S. Taylor

B. Seys Ce

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	
Application of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.,)	Case No. 2009-00141
for an Adjustment in Rates.)	

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC.'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA THE MOTION TO INVERVENE OF CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY-GAS DIVISION, LLC

Now comes Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. ("Columbia"), by and through its attorneys, and files this Memorandum Contra the Motion to Intervene filed by Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division ("Constellation") in the above-captioned proceeding.

On June 25, 2009, Constellation filed with the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") its Motion to Intervene ("Motion") requesting full intervenor status in this action pursuant to 807 Ky. Admin. Regs. 5:001 § 3(8)(b). Constellation also served and filed its Requests for Information on June 30, 2009, in compliance with the Commission's procedural schedule.¹

The Commission's Regulation 807 Ky. Admin. Regs. 5:001 § 3(8) governs intervention in Commission proceedings. It provides in part: "If the commission determines that a person has a special interest in the proceeding which is not otherwise adequately represented or that full intervention by party is likely to present issues or to develop facts that assist the commission in fully considering the matter without unduly complicating or disrupting the proceedings, such person shall be granted full intervention." The regulation requires a person seeking to intervene

¹ Columbia does not intend to respond to these data requests unless and until the Commission grants Constellation's Motion.

to establish either: (1) a special interest in the proceeding that is not already adequately represented; or, (2) that the person's intervention is likely to develop facts and issues to assist the Commission without unduly complicating or disrupting the proceeding.² Pursuant to this regulation, the Commission granted on May 8, 2009, the Attorney General's motion to intervene to adequately represent the interests of all consumers in the Commonwealth, as required by Ky. Rev. Stat. § 367.150(8).³

Constellation fails to meet either of the Commission's two criteria for intervention. Constellation does not provide a factual basis to justify its assertion of a special interest in the proceeding, which is not otherwise adequately represented by other parties of record. In its Motion, Constellation implicitly equates a special interest in this proceeding to its: (1) competitive interest in the proposed PPS and NSS services; and, (2) concern of Columbia's possible subsidization of those programs "through the use of utility employees, utility call centers, advertising in utility bills, the [Columbia] brand and the like." Constellation's concern regarding Columbia's involvement in the marketing and advertising of the proposed PPS and NSS services is already regulated by the Commission Rules and Kentucky law. Moreover, concern over the competitive nature of a new service, a small portion of the rate case, should not provide the sole basis of a full intervention. If Constellation is truly concerned solely with the PPS and NSS service, it should have moved for a limited intervention on that specific issue.

Constellation's interests, as a marketer in Columbia's Distribution Service program (i.e., traditional transportation service), are already being adequately represented by the Attorney Gen-

² In the Matter of: Application of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. for an Adjustment in Rates, PSC Case No. 2009-00141, Order (May 29, 2009) at 1.

³ In the Matter of: Application of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. for an Adjustment in Rates, PSC Case No. 2009-00141, Order (May 8, 2009).

⁴ 807 Ky. Admin. Regs. 5:001 § 3(8).

⁵ In the Matter of: Application of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. for an Adjustment in Rates, PSC Case No. 2009-00141, Motion of Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division, LLC for Full Intervention (June 25, 2009) at 2.

⁶ See Ky. Rev. Stat. § 278.2213(2), (13). See also 807 Ky. Admin. Regs. 5:080 § 6(4).

eral. Under Ky. Rev. Stat. § 367.150(8), the Attorney General does not solely represent one type of consumer, but represents all consumers in Kentucky, including Constellation's Distribution Service customers and Constellation itself as a marketer and consumer of Columbia's services. Therefore, because Constellation fails to cite a special interest in this proceeding, which is not already represented by the Attorney General or other intervenors, Constellation should not be permitted to intervene.

Constellation also fails to specify that it will likely assist the Commission in rendering its decision by identifying issues and developing facts. Constellation's Motion does not explicitly explain or detail how Constellation will identify issues or develop facts for the Commission's benefit. Instead, Constellation relies on the Commission to simply assume it will meet the second criterion if intervention is granted. Thus, Constellation has failed to carry its burden of proof without explicitly addressing this criterion.

Finally, Constellation's Motion provides no evidence or explanation that its intervention will not cause an additional complication in the already burdensome, multi-party rate case proceeding. Therefore, Constellation fails to provide adequate rationale for its intervention as required by 807 Ky. Admin. Regs. 5:001 § 3(8).

WHEREFORE, Columbia hereby respectfully requests the Commission deny Constellation's Motion because Constellation fails to satisfy either of the Commission's intervention requirements of 807 Ky. Admin. Regs. 5:001 § 3(8). In the alternative, if the Commission finds Constellation has met the criteria for intervention, Columbia respectfully requests that the Commission grant Constellation a limited intervention status to only address Columbia's proposed PPS and NSS programs.

Dated at Columbus, Ohio, this 10th day of July 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC.

By:

fephen B. Seiple (Counsel of Record)

Stephen B. Seiple, Assistant General Counsel

200 Civic Center Drive

P.O. Box 117

Columbus, Ohio 43216-0117

Telephone: (614) 460-4648

Fax: (614) 460-6986

e-mail: sseiple@nisource.com

Richard S. Taylor

225 Capital Avenue

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Telephone: (502) 223-8967

Fax: (502) 226-6383

Attorneys for

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Memorandum Contra of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc., to the Motion to Intervene of Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division, LLC was served upon all parties of record by regular U. S. mail this 10th day of July, 2009.

Stephen B. Seiple

Attorney for

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY INC.

SERVICE LIST

Tom Fitzgerald Liz D. Edmondson Kentucky Resources Council, Inc. P.O. Box 1070 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-1070

Robert M. Watt, III Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC 300 West Vine Street, Suite 2100 Lexington, Kentucky 40507

David F. Boehm Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 E. Seventh Street, Suit 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Dennis G. Howard, II Lawrence W. Cook Office of the Attorney General 1024 Capitol Center Drive, Suite 200 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-8204

Iris G. Skidmore Bates & Skidmore 415 W. Main Street, Suite 2 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

W. L. Wilson Leslye M. Bowman Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government 200 East Main Street Lexington, Kentucky 40507